



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/016,972 | 12/14/2001 | Ali Allen | ST-99-AD-037 | 7305 |
| 30432 | 7590 | 05/18/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. | | | VITAL, PIERRE M | |
| MAIL STATION 2346 | | | ART UNIT | |
| 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CARROLLTON, TX 75006 | | | 2188 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/016,972

Applicant(s)

ALLEN, ALI

Examiner

Pierre M. Vital

Art Unit

2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 27-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to restriction requirements filed October 26, 2004. Claims 1-30 are pending in this application. Claims 1-9, and 27-30 are elected. Claims 10-26 are withdrawn from consideration. As a result, claims 1-9, and 27-30 remain pending in this application.
2. The specification and the claims have been examined with the results that follow.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, line 7, after "pointer", it appears that "registering" should be changed to --register--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6, 8, 27-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lum et al (US 5,696,931).

As per claim 1, Lum discloses a mass storage system comprising:

- a mass storage device (Fig. 1; storage device 104);
- a cache memory coupled to the mass storage device, the cache memory being organized in data blocks and having a first data block (Fig. 1, disk cache 118, where it is understood that a cache is composed of multiple data blocks);
- a microprocessor coupled to the mass storage device and the cache memory (Fig. 1, microprocessor 114); and
- a controller coupled to the microprocessor and the cache memory (Fig. 1; controller 106), wherein the controller:
 - receives a data request from a host system (column 4, lines 40-41);
 - calculates new cache counter and pointer values when the first requested data block is not contained within the first block of the cache (column 7, lines 15-62);
 - initiates an auto-transfer of the requested data that resides in the cache to the host system (column 4, lines 40-46); and
 - requests a transfer of the requested data that resides in the mass storage device to the host system (column 9, lines 44-55).

As per claim 2, Lum discloses a controller register including:

- a counter register containing a value for the number of blocks of data in the cache memory (column 5, lines 65-67),
- a start address register identifying the first block of data in the cache memory (column 6, lines 14-18); and

a pointer register containing a pointer to the first block of data in the cache memory (column 8, lines 4-16).

As per claim 3, Lum discloses the microprocessor transfers the requested data that resides in the mass storage device to the host system by way of the cache memory (column 9, lines 44-55).

As per claim 4, Lum discloses the microprocessor controls the transfer of requested data that resides in the mass storage device and the controller controls the transfer of requested data that resides in the cache (column 4, lines 41-47; column 9, lines 44-47).

As per claim 5, Lum discloses the controller includes a general or special purpose processor executing program instructions (Fig. 1, microprocessor 114).

As per claim 6, Lum discloses the transfer of requested data that resides in the mass storage device occurs substantially simultaneously with the transfer of data that resides in the cache (column 9, lines 1-55; column 10, lines 36-38).

As per claim 8, Lum discloses a method of retrieving data from a mass storage system comprising:

receiving a data request from a host system, the data request including a block address for a first block of the requested data and a number of blocks in the request (column 4, lines 40-41; Fig. 1, disk cache 118, where it is understood that a cache is composed of multiple data blocks; column 5, lines 65-67);

if none of the requested data is in a cache memory, initiating a transfer of the requested data from a mass storage device (column 9, lines 44-47);

if a portion of the requested data is in the cache memory and a portion of the requested data is in the mass storage device, transferring the portion of the requested data from the cache memory to the host system substantially concurrently with transferring the portion of the requested data from the mass storage devices to the host system (column 9, lines 1-55; column 10, lines 36-38);

if all the requested data is in the cache memory, transferring the requested data from the cache memory to the host system (column 4, lines 41-47);

wherein the steps of transferring the requested data from the cache memory system include calculating a starting location in the cache memory for the transfer, based upon the block address and the number of blocks in the request received from the host system (column 5, line 62 – column 6, line 18).

As per claim 27, Lum discloses a disk memory system, comprising:

a disk-device for storing data-blocks on disk-storage-media (Fig. 1; storage device 104, where it is understood that disk tracks or segments store data blocks);

a cache for storing data-blocks (Fig. 1, disk cache 118, where it is understood that a cache is composed of multiple data blocks);

a disk-controller (Fig. 1; controller 106);

registers within said disk-controller containing a cache-start-address of a first data-block in said cache (column 6, lines 14-18), and a cache-block-length that defines a total number of data-blocks stored in said cache (column 5, lines 65-67);

said disk-controller receiving a data-request that contains a request-start-address of a first data-block in said data-request, and a request-block-length that defines a total number of data-blocks in said data-request (column 4, lines 40-41);

a microprocessor operationally interconnecting said disk-device, said cache, and said disk-controller (Fig. 1, microprocessor 114);

logic means in said disk-controller responsive to said cache-start-address as compared to said request-start-address, and to said cache-block-length-as compared to said request-block-length (column 7, lines 15-62; column 5, line 62 – column 6, line 18);

said logic means being operable to determine when no data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache, and operating in response to such a determination to cause said microprocessor to fetch said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request from said disk-device (column 9, lines 44-47);

said logic means being operable to determine when all of the data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache, and operating in response to such a determination to cause said disk-controller to auto-transfer all of said data-blocks

corresponding to said data-request from said cache without requiring operation of said microprocessor (column 4, lines 40-46); and

said logic means being operable to determine when a cache-hit-portion of data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache and a cache-miss-portion of said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request do not reside in said cache, and operating in response to such a determination to concurrently cause said disk-controller to auto-transfer said cache-hit-portion of said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request from said cache, and to cause said microprocessor to fetch data-blocks corresponding to said cache-miss-portion of said data-request from said disk-device (column 9, lines 1-55; column 10, lines 36-38).

As per claim 28, Lum discloses a disk memory system, comprising:

a relatively slow disk-device for storing data-blocks on disk-storage-media (Fig. 1; storage device 104, where it is understood that disk tracks or segments store data blocks);

a relatively fast cache for storing data-blocks (Fig. 1, disk cache 118, where it is understood that a cache is composed of multiple data blocks);

a disk-controller (Fig. 1; controller 106), and

a microprocessor (Fig. 1, microprocessor 114);

registers within said disk-controller containing a cache-start-address of a first data-block in said cache (column 6, lines 14-18), and a cache-block-length that defines a total number of data-blocks stored in said cache (column 5, lines 65-67);

said disk-controller receiving as input a data-request from said host-system; said data request containing a request-start-address of a first data-block in said data-request, and a request-block-length that defines a total number of data-blocks in said data-request (column 4, lines 40-41);

a logic circuit in said disk-controller responsive to said cache-start address as compared to said request-start-address, and to said cache-block-length as compared to said request-block-length (column 9, lines 44-47);

said logic circuit being operable to determine a cache-miss when no data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache, and operating in response to a cache-miss to cause said microprocessor to fetch said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request from said disk-device (column 9, lines 44-47);

said logic circuit being operable to determine a total-cache-hit when all of the data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache, and operating in response to a total-cache-hit to cause said disk-controller to auto-transfer all of said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request from said cache without requiring operation of said microprocessor (column 4, lines 40-46);

and said logic circuit being operable to determine a partial-cache-hit when a first-portion of data-blocks corresponding to said data-request reside in said cache and a second-portion of said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request do not reside in said cache, and operating in response to a partial-cache-hit to concurrently cause said disk-controller to auto-transfer said first-portion of said data-blocks corresponding to said data-request from said cache, and to cause said microprocessor to fetch data-

Art Unit: 2188

blocks corresponding to said second-portion of said data-request from said disk-device (column 9, lines 1-55; column 10, lines 36-38).

Claim 30 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 5 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 7 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lum et al (US 5,696,931) in view of well-known practices in the art.

Regarding claim 7, Lum discloses the claimed invention as per the rejection of claim 1 supra. Lum does not explicitly disclose the mass storage system and the host system are integrated into a single unit as required in the claim. However, to make integral is generally not given patentable weight.

Furthermore, integrating memory and logic on a single device is a common and well-known practice in the art, to reduce pin count, power and area, and to increase the data transfer rate between elements. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant to integrate the mass storage and the host in the system of Lum to reduce pin count, power and area, and to increase the data transfer rate between elements based on conventional practices.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lum et al (US 5,696,931) in view of Taroda et al (US Pub 2001/0014929) A1).

As per claim 9, Lum discloses the claimed invention as per the rejection of claim 8 supra. Lum does not explicitly disclose the data request has a first logical address protocol and the cache memory has a second logical address protocol and including the step of translating between the first and second address protocols as required in the claim.

Taroda discloses a disk control device having a block format different from the host wherein the first and second formats can be converted (Paragraphs 12-16) to realize access compatible with the two different formats.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention by applicant, to modify the system of Lum to include converting formats between the host and the disk controller in the manner taught by Taroda, because it was well known to realize access compatible with the two different formats (Paragraph 14) as taught by Taroda.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach automatic transfer of cache data using disk drive controller.

10. The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.


11. When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre M. Vital whose telephone number is (571) 272-4215. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 12, 2006


PIERRE VITAL
PRIMARY EXAMINER